



Funding CGIAR System actions and entities moving forward

Purpose

The objective of this session is to identify some of the considerations pertinent to: (i) identifying CGIAR System actions and entities; (ii) the costing of these actions and entities; and (iii) funding the actions and entities, including the allocation of the costs between the System Council and CGIAR System Organization.

The session is intended to prompt System Council perspectives – at the meeting (should time permit), but also, and particularly, following the meeting.

Nominations will be sought at the 1st System Council meeting to establish a working group to consider further the comprehensive identification of the administrative costs of the system and the means for financing such costs, and to develop a proposal for the development of multiyear, consolidated business plans and budget projections with a view to facilitating robust budgeting and comprehensive and comparable monitoring and review of the system's administrative costs. It is anticipated that the working group will report at the 2nd System Council meeting in September 2016.

This paper will also be considered by the System Management Board during its first meeting.

Document category: Working document of the System Council

There is no restriction on the circulation of this document

Background

1. CGIAR System actions and entities, and approaches to finance associated costs (“**System Costs**”), have been an ongoing consideration of the reformed CGIAR. A brief history, from 2009, and current status is presented in [Appendix 1](#).
2. The meeting of CGIAR System’s Centers and Funders in Washington D.C. (6-8 June 2016) discussed and approved the transitional arrangements for 2016 System Costs taking note that the rights and obligations of the Consortium of International Agricultural Research Centers (“**Consortium**”) as a legal entity, under the legal agreements currently in force with respect to the CRPs and the 2016 System Costs will not be affected by the establishment of the CGIAR System Organization (“**System Organization**”), since from a legal perspective, there is no change in the legal personality of the System Organization from that of the Consortium.
3. The meeting requested the Interim Executive Director to *establish a working group*, building on the group that had met during the meeting, to consider further the comprehensive identification of the administrative costs of the system and the means for financing such costs, and to develop a proposal for the development of multiyear, consolidated business plans and budget projections with a view to facilitating robust budgeting and comprehensive and comparable monitoring and review of the System’s administrative costs.

Determining actions and entities

4. Comprehensive identification of the administrative costs of the system is a basic expectation. System Costs for the newly transitioned CGIAR System currently include the Independent Science and Partnership Council (ISPC), the Independent Evaluation Arrangement (IEA), the Internal Audit Function, and the System Management Office, and may include special initiatives such as gender and open access – open data.

Costing actions and entities

5. Donors have made it clear that the Cost Sharing Percentage (“**CSP**”¹) should continue to pay for System Costs. However, the elements of the System Costs need to be well defined.
6. Equally important is the projection of fund inflows into the CGIAR Trust Fund that is to be established (today the CGIAR Fund, Windows 1, 2 and 3), and Centers’ expectations of bilateral funding. Exceptions to CSP collection, if any, need to be well defined.

¹ Refer to Attachment I for details on Cost Sharing Percentage

7. In order to establish the CSP rate, decisions are required on the following subjects:
 - a. Will the system pay for all personnel and operational costs of the System Council and the System Organization?
 - b. Which cost items should be included in the budget when accounting for the System Council and the System Organization operations?
 - c. Should CSP be collected on all bilateral grants within the scope of CGIAR's Strategy and Results Framework ("SRF"), (noting that across the system there was only 0.5% of expenditures reported outside of the scope of the SRF in 2015), or only on bilateral grants that will be mapped or aligned to CRPs (80% of expenditures were aligned to CRPs in 2015)?
 - d. Should legacy projects (multi-year agreements, multi-phase projects and umbrella agreements) be excluded or not from CSP collection (legacy projects accounted for 18% of bilateral funding in 2015)?
 - e. Should infrastructure projects (donations from donors or governments for infrastructure improvements at a Center) be excluded from CSP collection or not?

8. As set out in the 17 June 2016 approved CGIAR System Framework, the CGIAR System has also recognized the advantages and need for multiyear, consolidated business plans and budget projections, to facilitate robust budgeting and comprehensive and comparable monitoring and review of the system's administrative costs.

Funding the of costs actions and entities – A suggested way forward

9. In order to keep the process of collecting the CSP as simple as possible and to provide full transparency to the CGIAR System's Funders, Centers and other interested parties, the System Management Office proposes the following:
 - a. System Costs should be comprehensive, including:
 - i. System Council support personnel and operational costs excluding in-kind personnel costs;
 - ii. ISPC, IEA and Internal Audit Function personnel/member and operational costs;
 - iii. Personnel and operational costs of the two component parts of the System Organization, namely the System Management Board and the System Management Office;
 - iv. The fees incurred for the provision of trustee services and the operational costs of the CGIAR Trust Fund;
 - v. Participation in and contributions to the holding of system-level events, conferences and fora.

Funding CGIAR System actions and entities moving forward
For input post meeting

- b. Special Initiative projects and activities hosted at the System Management Office should be excluded and accounted for independently from System Costs.
 - c. A single rate CSP be applied on all funds contributed to the CGIAR Trust Fund and to bilateral projects:
 - i. A lower rate is charged if CSP applies to all bilateral projects mapped or aligned to the SRF;
 - ii. A higher rate is charged if CSP applies to bilateral projects mapped or aligned to CRPs only;
 - iii. Yet an even higher rate is charged if CSP does not apply to legacy projects.
 - d. Bilateral grants (not Window 3) reported outside of the SRF should be excluded.
 - e. Assuming that the intention is to keep the CSP rate as low as possible, CSP for projects not mapped or aligned to CRPs and CSP on remaining legacy projects needs to be funded with Center own funds, if grantors are not willing to accept such cost on bilateral project grants, but Centers accept such bilateral project grants without Full Cost Recovery (“**FCR**”).
 - f. Infrastructure grants or donations should be excluded from CSP.
 - g. No double collection is required (example: inter-Center activity or sub-granting amongst Centers)
10. In addition to the CSP, the System Management Office currently receives contributions from FAO; recovery of costs from shared services; overhead from projects and hosted entities; special initiatives (open access, gender action plan, SIAC); and retained internal tax from staff salaries by virtue of the host country agreement with France. The System Management Office proposes these continue.
11. Appendix 2 presents one potential example of what System Council and System Organizations budgets could look like for 2017. There are undoubtedly other approaches that could be taken.

Next steps

12. To take the topic forward, nominations will be sought at the 1st System Council meeting (and also at the 1st System Management Board meeting) to establish a working group to consider further the comprehensive identification of the administrative costs of the system and the means for financing such costs.
13. Necessary follow up actions would include:
 - a. obtaining out-of-session System Council approval of the terms of reference for the working group;
 - b. setting out a comprehensive timeline for working group actions; and
 - c. ensuring effective cross-system consultation.
14. It is proposed that the working group be chaired by the Interim Executive Director in line with the direction given at the final transition meeting on 6-8 June, as noted above.

Appendix 1

Brief history of CGIAR System Costs and current status

In 2009 the Boston Consulting Group calculated CGIAR System Costs² to be at US \$13.1m which included costs for the Secretariat, ISPC, Alliance Office, CIO, CAS IP, Gender & Diversity, system reviews and contributions to meetings such as an AGM. It was assumed that a net savings of US\$ 4m could be achieved by moving to the new CGIAR, without including the Consortium Office, which was considered to be a direct cost, once it would be operational. This was based on a system research agenda of approximately \$620m³ but moving gradually to \$1 billion. At the same time, it was assumed that Full Time Equivalent (“FTE”) headcount could be reduced from 51 prior to the reform to 26 after the reform, again excluding the Consortium Office.

In 2010, a paper was presented⁴ that discussed System Costs prior to the CGIAR reform (\$12.8m in 2009) and after the CGIAR reform (estimated at \$12.3m), and proposed two “Cost Sharing Formulas”. The Trustee would disburse such support costs from W1 and would apply two separate formulas, one at the CGIAR Fund level, and a different formula at the CGIAR-wide system level. At the time, the Consortium expressed its preference for a single rate formula.

The Funders Forum (15 July 2011 in Rome, Italy) agreed on a formula to equitably share non-research System Costs, and decided to implement a uniform application of this formula as a single rate, the Cost Sharing Percentage (“CSP”) for all CGIAR donors. This approach assumes full transparency of funding to CGIAR, including CGIAR Consortium and Center reporting of bilateral funding.

The CSP is to be calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\textit{Total approved System Costs}}{\textit{Total projected CGIAR Fund contributions \& Bilateral Funding}}$$

The approved System Costs were projected at \$12m on \$600m Fund Contributions to the CGIAR Fund trust account and bilateral funding, which results in a 2% CSP for all CGIAR funding.

This approach was adopted as part of the Common Operational Framework⁵.

² Synthesis of CGIAR System Costs, December 2009

³ CGIAR Full Financial Report

⁴ Financing CGIAR’s System Costs and Special Items, First Funders Forum, July 15, 2010 – Rome, Italy

⁵ CGIAR Non-Research System Costs Financing (November 2011)

All CGIAR Fund donors reaffirmed their alignment with “no free riding” principle in financing the System Costs. The Fund Council requested the Consortium Office to prepare a note on how the system cost thru the CSP will be collected.

In the paper that was submitted⁶ the Consortium Board recommended the following to the Fund Council and CGIAR Centers:

1. To make major effort at all levels to encourage bilateral grant donors to use the CGIAR Fund for financing and allocation purposes;
2. For donors to accept to pay a higher amount of the System Costs for the period 2011-2013, for "legacy project" and center methodological reasons.
3. For Centers to accelerate the costing working group efforts in standardizing the activity-based-costing and budgeting such that Centers are able to lower their indirect cost rate to an acceptable level for all donors, and which encourages operational efficiencies. Further, that donors must also agree to pay for all valid direct costs;
4. For the Consortium Office, if necessary, to work with smaller Centers in finding a solution that fits their circumstances, if they cannot achieve the needed lower benchmark rate as described in this paper.

At the 11th Fund Council meeting (Mexico City, Mexico, 7-8 May 2014), the total System Costs were projected at \$16.7m in 2014 and \$16.3m for the subsequent years 2015, 2016 and 2017, without including Special Initiatives Projects assigned to the Consortium Office by the Fund Council.

In 2014, the system collected 49% of CSP on \$426m of bilateral funding while in 2015 the collection will increase to approximately 75% of CSP on \$370m of bilateral funding.

The increased 2015 collection is the result of applying the amended Program Implementation Agreement (“PIA”) for the 2015/2016 CRP extensions that provides the option to enforce collection on all bilateral projects that are classified as “*Donor does not accept or donor overhead cannot cover CSP*” by offsetting against W1&2 disbursements to Lead Centers in 2016.

Legacy projects (multi-year agreements, multi-phase projects and umbrella agreements) for which no CSP is collected, still amounted to \$66m or 18% of bilateral funding in 2015, of which 2 Centers accounted for almost 60%.

⁶ Recovery of 2% System Cost, 6th Fund Council meeting, November 8-9, 2011

Funding CGIAR System actions and entities moving forward
For input post meeting

In 2015, 80% of total expenditures were aligned to CRPs and only 0.5% of total expenditures were reported outside of the SRF. For bilateral project expenditures outside of the SRF, no CSP is collected, as per signed PIAs.

Actual System Costs in 2015 were \$17.26, which included \$0.7m of unplanned shortfall in the CGIAR shared services Internal Audit Unit's ("CGIAR IAU") income from Centers and carry-over from previous years' expenditures.

Actual System Costs, excluding the adjustment for CGIAR IAU, is within 1.5% of the 2014 projection. Special Initiative Projects assigned to the Consortium (GRAP, VIP, SIAC, OA-OD) accounted for \$3.1m and other costs absorbed accounted for \$8.4m⁷.

⁷ CGIAR Financial Report for the year 2015

Appendix 2

Potential model for System Council and System Organization budgets for 2017

System Council Budget

Clarity regarding major cost items and activities for the 2017 System Council operation (is ISPC, IEA and the Internal Audit Function included?) is required in order to prepare the System Costs budget.

The System Council budget might include the following items:

CGIAR System Council - Budget 2017 as of <i>day, month</i> 2017							
Budget Header	OCS Expense Category	Budget System Chair 2017	Budget ISPC 2017	Budget IEA 2017	Budget IAU 2017	Total SC Budget 2017	Actual previous year
Unrestricted/Window 1 funding							
Other Income							
Foreign exchange							
Internal Audit - Center Service fees							
INCOME							
Staff Cost	M100						
Board Fees & Insurance	M120						
Consultancy	M150						
Travel	M200						
Operating Expense	M300						
Publications	M400						
Capacitation	M700						
Meetings and workshops	M750						
Contingency	M900						
EXPENSES							7,000,000
RESULT (+ SURPLUS/-LOSS)							

The actual previous year does not include expenditures for the System Council Chair

System Organization Budget

It is proposed to set up an independent budget for the System Management Board and for the System Management Office.

The System Management Board budget might include the following items:

CGIAR System Management Board - Budget 2017
as of day, month 2017

Budget Header	OCS Expense Category	Budget SMB 2017	Total SMB Budget 2017	Actual previous year
Unrestricted/Window 1 funding				
Internal Audit - Center Service fees ?				
INCOME				
Board Fees & Insurance	M120			
Consultancy	M150			
Travel	M200			
Operating Expense	M300			
Capacitation	M700			
Meetings and workshops	M750			
Contingency	M900			
EXPENSES		-	-	481,000
RESULT (+ SURPLUS/-LOSS)				

The actual previous year represents the 2015 Consortium Board expenditures.

Funding CGIAR System actions and entities moving forward
For input post meeting

The budget for the System Management Office is more complex and needs definitions as to which additional responsibilities the office will assume, or not, from the former Fund Office and which responsibilities will carry over, or not, to the office from the former Consortium Office.

Assuming that all Consortium Office responsibilities will continue, and making certain projections as to additional tasks and responsibilities the office will need to implement, a proposed initial and preliminary budget might look as follows:

CGIAR System Management Office - Budget 2017
as of *day, month* 2017

Budget Header	OCS Expense Category	Budget former CO 2017	Budget Residual Transition Cost 2017	Budget tasks from former FO 2017	Budget additional tasks assigned to the SMO 2017	Total Budget 2017	Actual previous year
Unrestricted/Window 1 funding							
Transition income							
Income tax on SMO salaries							
Bilateral funding for SMO tasks							
Shared services							
Foreign exchange							
INCOME							
Staff Cost	M100	3,400,000		750,000	300,000	4,450,000	
Consultancy	M150	1,060,000		150,000	150,000	1,360,000	
Travel	M200	50,000		50,000	75,000	175,000	
Operating Expense	M300	110,000		150,000	20,000	280,000	
Building/Infrastructure management and maintenance	M350	60,000		-		60,000	
Publications	M400	190,000		50,000	25,000	265,000	
Partner activities	M600	250,000		-		250,000	
Capacitation	M700	75,000		15,000		90,000	
Meetings and workshops	M750	210,000		-	25,000	235,000	
Contingency	M900	200,000		150,000	20,000	370,000	
EXPENSES		5,605,000		1,315,000	615,000	7,535,000	9,600,000
RESULT (+ SURPLUS/-LOSS)							

The actual previous year represents the 2015 Consortium Office and Fund Office expenditures, excluding cost for the Consortium Board.

As mentioned previously, this budget does not include Special Initiative Projects which are currently assigned to the System Management Office, or for other activities that are not related to the responsibilities and the operation of the office

Note, this is a provisional System Management Office budget and needs adjustment following any decisions taken during the System Management Board meeting in Paris, 11-13 July 2016 that has budget implications, amongst others.

Funding CGIAR System actions and entities moving forward
For input post meeting

The Management Account reporting structure for the System Council, the System Management Board and the System Management Office might include the following items and can be presented as follows:

CGIAR System Management Accounts - Budget and Expenditure Reporting 2017
as of *day, month* 2017

Budget Header	OCS Expense Category	Budget 2017	Actual Year to Date 2017	Year End Forecast 2017	Variance Year to Date vs. Actual Budget Year to Date	Variance Year End Forecast vs. Budget	Actual previous year
Unrestricted/Window 1 funding							
Transition income							
Internal Audit - Center Service fees							
Income tax on SMO salaries							
Shared services							
Foreign exchange							
INCOME							
Staff Cost	M100						
Board Fees & Insurance	M120						
Consultancy	M150						
Travel	M200						
Operating Expense	M300						
Building Management and Operational Costs	M350						
Publications	M400						
Partner Activities	M600						
Capacitation	M700						
Meetings	M750						
Contingency	M900						
EXPENSES							
RESULT (+ SURPLUS/-LOSS)							

Financing Plan and funding projections for 2017

As of today we have not undertaken any funding projections for 2017, be it funds to the CGIAR Trust Fund (today, the CGIAR Fund, and identified as W1&2 and W3) or bilateral project funds.

The first estimation of the bilateral project fund by Centers will be collected by the System Management Office in October 2016.

It would be extremely helpful if the System Council could provide initial 2017 projections for fund contributions to the new CGIAR Trust Fund at its first meeting to provide important contextual information.